

What this checks, what it refuses, and why it is not a chatbot prompt.

Catalog Recall Monitor is a CPSC-first recall review workflow for resale, recommerce, and safety-sensitive ecommerce teams. This packet shows the live demo, redacted-sample prep, source-backed report artifact, and the boundaries that keep the first test low-risk.

- Real product screenshots
- No fake customer proof
- No private data required

- CPSC source links

INPUT

5 redacted rows

SOURCE

Full CPSC cache

OUTPUT

Review queue

BOUNDARY

Decision support

The problem is not whether AI can summarize one recall page. The problem is whether a team can repeatedly check messy catalog rows, keep fuzzy evidence out of automatic suppress decisions, link every visible match to an official source, and preserve a reportable trail of what was reviewed.

The trust asset is the workflow itself.

These are real screenshots from the public site. The point is not cinematic stock imagery; it is letting a buyer see the demo, data guardrails, and report artifact before sending a file.

The screenshot shows the 'Catalog Recall Monitor' interface. At the top, there are navigation links: 'Public demo', 'How it works', 'Sample report', and 'Security'. A status indicator 'NO PRIVATE DATA REQUIRED' is visible. Below the navigation, there are four summary cards: 'Passed' (5 visible product-only rows scanned), 'Locked' (Exact UPC/model matches can produce Suppress (1)), '3 source links' (Rows with visible matches include an official CPSC recall URL), and 'CSV-ready' (Export includes decision, evidence class, reason, action, and source URL). The main content area displays a list of product rows with the following details:

- Row 1:** RANGE-FP-001, Fisher & Paykel free-standing professional gas range. Evidence: Hard identifier match - exact UPC. Reason: Exact UPC 822843828494 match to CPSC recall 26-419. Action: Pull from sale. Audit inventory for this SKU. Status: Suppress.
- Row 2:** KIDS-RAIL-0147, Portable bedside rail for kid room, open box. Evidence: Fuzzy text overlap. Reason: Brand/title overlap with CPSC recall 26-387: portable, rail, easymake, bed. Action: Confirm model, UPC, and product details against the official recall before listing. Status: Manual Review.
- Row 3:** HOME-STEAM-001, BISSELL Steam Shot OmniReach handheld steam cleaner attachments kit. Evidence: Fuzzy text overlap. Reason: Brand/title overlap with CPSC recall 26-385: bissell, steam, shot, omnireach, cleaner. Action: Confirm model, UPC, and product details against the official recall before listing. Status: Manual Review.
- Row 4:** TOY-WOOD-220, Wooden stacking puzzle with animal shapes. Evidence: No visible source match. Reason: No visible match found in the public demo sources scanned as of this run. Status: No Visible Match.

Public demo with audit trail.

Buyers can run synthetic resale rows first and see why exact evidence, fuzzy evidence, and no-visible-match rows are treated differently.

```
001,,822843828494,buyer@example.com,ORDER-1001,1999.00,22%
Portable bedside rail for kid room open box,Easymake,bed
rail,KIDS-RAIL-0147,,,,ORDER-1002,17.00,41%
BISSELL Steam Shot OmniReach handheld steam cleaner
attachments kit,BISSELL,home cleaning,HOME-STEAM-
001,,,,ORDER-1003,8.00,35%
Wooden stacking puzzle with animal shapes,Little
Grove,toys,TOY-WOOD-220,,,,ORDER-1004,4.00,50%
Generic stainless steel water
bottle,Northline,drinkware,BOTTLE-STEEL-500,,,,ORDER-
1005,3.00,55%
Cotton baby blanket with cloud print,Harbor
Baby,bedding,CLEAN-001,,,,ORDER-1006,5.00,38%
```

Prepare redacted sample →

Clear

Clean sample prepared locally. Review the preview before downloading.

gas range			
Portable bedside rail for kid room open box	Easymake	bed rail	KIDS- RAIL- 0147
BISSELL Steam Shot OmniR each handheld steam cleaner attachments kit	BISSELL	home cleaning	HOME- STEAM- 001
Wooden stacking puzzle with animal shapes	Little Grove	toys	TOY- WOOD- D-220
Generic stainless steel water bottle	Northline	drinkware	BOTTLE- STEEL- 500

Browser-only sample prep.

The cleaner keeps product fields, excludes obvious private columns, and helps produce the five-row sample we ask for first.

SOURCE-BACKED QUEUE

What a buyer receives.

Every possible match links to the official CPSC record. No-visible-match rows use conservative scan-scope language, not safety certification language.

TABLE CARDS

sample-redacted-catalog-template.csv Generated Apr 20, 2026 · full historical CPSC recall cache

ROWS SCANNED	CPSC RECORDS	POSSIBLE MATCHES	MANUAL REVIEW	NO VISIBLE MATCH
4 rows	9,761	3	2	1

SKU	PRODUCT	RECOMMENDATION	EVIDENCE	REASON	HAZARD	ACTION
RANGE-FP-001	Fisher & Paykel free-standing professional gas range brand: Fisher & Paykel · category: appliances · UPC: 822843828494	● Suppress	Hard identifier match	Exact UPC 822843828494 match to CPSC recall 26-419.	buzn hazard	Pull from sale. Audit inventory for this SKU. Official CPSC source
BED-RAIL-118	Easymake Adult Portable Bed Rail Set brand: Easymake · category: bedroom/safety	● Manual review	Fuzzy text overlap	Brand and title overlap with the CPSC Easymake adult portable bed rail recall. Confirm model/SKU evidence before suppressing.	entrapment / asphyxiation	Hold for manual review. Official CPSC source
HOME-STEAM-001	BISSELL Steam Shot OmniReach handheld steam	● Manual review	Fuzzy text overlap	Brand and title overlap with official BISSELL Steam Shot OmniReach	buzn hazard	Hold for manual review. Confirm model UPC.

Report artifact, not chat prose.

The buyer receives a source-backed suppress / manual-review / no-visible-match queue with reasons, actions, and official CPSC links.

FOUNDER-OPERATED PILOT

accountable

Catalog Recall Monitor is run by Ryan Houchin, Founder. Early reviews are intentionally concierge-style so the matching logic, report language, and buyer workflow can be checked carefully before product automation expands.

Contact is direct: ryan@catalogrecallmonitor.com.

WHAT IS NOT AUTOMATED

bounded

- No automatic product takedowns.
- No customer notification automation.
- No legal advice or compliance certification.
- No payment collection before a specific pilot scope is confirmed.
- No use of customer, order, or payment data for the first review.

CHATBOT VS OPERATING TRAIL

A prompt can read one page. A workflow leaves evidence.

ChatGPT can be useful for one-off reading. Catalog Recall Monitor is built for repeatable catalog review: same inputs, same decision rules, official source links, private-data preflight, and an exportable report.

[Try the no-upload demo](#)

ONE-OFF PROMPT

Good for reading a recall page.

A chatbot can summarize a notice or help think through one suspicious item. That is useful, but it does not create a durable review queue.

REPEATABLE RULES

Exact and fuzzy evidence stay separate.

Exact UPC or model evidence can support Suppress. Brand, title, or category overlap goes to Manual Review instead of pretending the answer is certain.

SOURCE-BACKED ARTIFACT

The output is a report, not a chat.

Each visible match gets a reason, an action, and an official CPSC source link. The queue can be exported and shared with an operator or consultant.

AUDIT POSTURE

The scan records what happened.

The demo shows input preflight, decision rules, evidence count, and artifact status. Real scans keep the same posture around source-backed review.

Plain-English operating trail.

The workflow explains what ChatGPT can do, what it cannot preserve, and why repeatable evidence matters for catalog operations.

Exact evidence and fuzzy evidence are not treated the same.

This is the core reason the workflow is stronger than a broad chatbot answer. The report keeps uncertainty visible instead of converting it into false certainty.

S SUPPRESS

Hard identifier evidence.

Used for hard identifier evidence such as exact UPC or exact model-number evidence linked to an official CPSC recall.

R MANUAL REVIEW

Fuzzy evidence.

Used when brand, title, category, or partial model text overlaps with a recall but needs a human check before action.

N NO VISIBLE MATCH

No visible evidence found.

Used only to say no visible match was found in scanned official sources as of the scan time. It is not a product safety certification.

A buyer can evaluate the workflow without exposing private data.

The first useful trust move is not payment. It is seeing whether a real operator is willing to send a tiny product-only sample and whether the report changes an operational decision.

01 / Demo

Run the public examples.

No account, upload, card, or private data required.

02 / Redact

Prepare five rows locally.

The browser-only cleaner helps remove customer, order, payment, cost, and credential data.

03 / Review

Receive a source-backed report.

The report shows reasons, actions, CPSC source links, and conservative decision language.

04 / Decide

Continue only if useful.

Recurring monitoring is only worth discussing after the first artifact proves it changes work.

— USE THIS BEFORE OUTREACH

Forward the packet. Do not pretend the company is bigger than it is.

The trust play is simple: show the live demo, show the real report, show the data boundary, and make the first buyer action tiny. No fake customers, fake certifications, or fake enterprise scale.

LEGAL BOUNDARY This provides source-backed decision support. It does not certify products as safe, provide legal advice, or replace human safety/compliance review.